ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Evaluation of outcomes in SPA-treated osteoarthrosic patients

S. BELLOMETTI, C. GALLOTTI*, G. PACILEO*, A. ROTA*, M.T. TENCONI*
Center of Thermal Studies "Pietro d'Abano", Abano Terme (PD); *Department of Preventive Medicine, Section of Hygiene,
University of Pavia

Key words

Osteoarthritis • SPA therapy • Quality of life

Summary

Introduction. Osteoarthrosis is the most prevalent joints disorder and it is also the most frequent cause of physical disability in the elderly. When surgery is not indicated, symptomatic drugs are generally used. These treatments are frequently associated to balneotherapy. In fact, balneotherapy or spa therapy has been widely used in classical medicine as a cure for such diseases. The aim and significance of this study is to evaluate the impact of thermalism in subjects suffering from osteoarthrosis.

Methods. We randomly selected 220 osteoarthrosic subjects (STs = spa treatment subjects), aged from 40 to 90, that usually undergone mud pack therapy and balneotherapy at least once a year. They were enrolled in thermal establishments in the Euganean Basin. We also recruited, as control group, 172 osteoarthrosic subjects (NCs = normal care subjects) that never underwent

any spa therapy. A questionnaire, comprehensive of a disability score, was administered by physicians to each subject.

Results. STs reported to suffer from osteoarthrosis for more years than NCs. Furthermore STs significantly suffered more than NCs from pain in several joints, and they also showed a more elevated average number of painful joints. In spite of that, STs used less drugs than NCs, and showed a higher degree of disability due to osteoarthrosis (p < 0.001).

Conclusion. The regular use of mudpack and balneotherapy seem to improve the wellness, and the spa treatment seems to help the achievement of this goal. In this regard it might be important to encourage new investigations in order to assess in which measure thermal therapy contribute to the wellness improvement.

Introduction and aim

Chronic-degenerative diseases are generally characterized by uncertain aetiology, multiple risk factors, a long latency period, a prolonged course of illness and slowly progress, functional impairment or disability. In more general terms, a chronic disease can be defined as a disease that has a prolonged course, that does not resolve spontaneously, and for which a complete cure is rarely achieved.

Osteoarthrosis is one of the most prevalent chronic conditions in developed countries [1]. It is the most prevalent joints disorder [2] and it is also the most frequent cause of physical disability in the older adult population [3], with high costs for health care systems and society [4]. It is a chronic condition, characterized firstly by alterated structure and function of the articular cartilage, then the changes occur in the joint as a whole, including bone and soft tissues [2]. It is a multifactorial disease with several risk factors influencing the normal repair mechanism of cartilage. Local symptoms are pain and functional limitations that can cause complete physical disability [5]. When surgery is not indicated, symptomatic drugs such as analgesics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are generally used [6]. These treatments are frequently associated with balneotherapy. In fact, balneotherapy or spa therapy has been widely used in classical medicine as a cure for diseases [7]. Decreasing pain and improving function should be considered as the main indicators in

the effectiveness evaluation of thermalism. In particular mud-pack therapy is able to affect condrocytis activity modulating seric cytokine production, and decrease of pain as consequence of anti-inflammatory action [8-10]. Mud bath treatment might exert beneficial effects on cartilage homeostasis and inflammatory reactions, influencing nitric oxide production and decreasing myeloperoxidase serum values [11]. The aim and significance of this study is to evaluate differences in health status between a sample of subjects suffering from osteoarthritis, who periodically undergo spa therapy *vs* those who have been treated with drugs.

Materials and methods

POPULATION

According to the protocol we randomly selected 220 osteoarthrosic subjects (STs = spa treatment subjects) coming from different Italian regions, aged from 40 to 90, who usually underwent mud pack therapy and balneotherapy at least once a year (STs): they were enrolled in thermal establishments in the Euganean Basin. We also recruited 172 osteoarthrosic subjects, in the same age span, that never underwent any SPA therapy normal care subjects (NCs), enrolled by general practitioners (GPs) in several Italian regions. All the subjects were enrolled after having obtained their informed consent.

METHODOLOGY

Physicians, specialized in thermal medicine and some randomly chosen GPs for NCs, administered a questionnaire to each subject in order to collect anamnestic data, thermal treatments, intensity and duration of articular pain and disability. The degree of disability was evaluated asking the subjects to attribute a score on the base of their difficulties in performing ten daily living activities [3]: Standing with arm up, Climbing 10 steps, Walking 2 blocks, Walking 3 blocks, Standing-up and sitting-down (chair), Standing-up and sitting-down (bed), Showering, Bathing, Doing light works, Doing heavy works. The statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's t-test and χ square test. The disability score has been standardised by age with direct method, using as standard population the whole recruited population.

Results

Sample characteristics have been reported in Table I. It is to mention that NCs are older and less educated than STs (p < 0.001). STs reported to have suffered from osteoarthrosis for more years than NCs (p < 0.001). In

fact 33.8% of STs reported to have had pain in joints for more than 20 years vs 14.2% of NCs.

STs significantly suffered more than NCs from pain in different joints, as reported in Table II, and moreover they showed also a higher frequencies of painful joints $(8.78 \pm 4.68 \ vs \ 6.43 \pm 4.03 - p < 0.001)$. In spite of that, STs used less drugs than NCs (mostly symptomatic drugs such as analgesics or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) (Tabs. III and IV). Furthermore NCs used physiotherapy more than STs, even if the difference was not significant, and showed a higher degree of disability due to osteoarthrosis. Table V shows the score that subjects given by STs and NCs on the basis of the difficulties to perform activities of daily living. The higher degree of disability (p < 0.001) in NCs might affect their quality of life. No significant difference has been found by age-standardization.

Discussion and conclusion

The current therapy of osteoarthrosis is largely symptomatic and based on analgesics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, because the primary goal is to provide relief of the symptoms [8].

Tab. I. Sample description				
	Spa treatm	Spa treatment subjects		re subjects
	n.	%	n.	%
Sex				
Male	98	44.5	50	29.0
Female	122	55.5	122	71.0
Age				
Mean (± sd)	63.39	(10.05)	67.63	(10.50)
Origin (213 treated and	169 controls)			
North Italy	138	64.8	72	42.6
Centre Italy	47	22.1	32	18.9
South Italy	20	9.4	65	38.5
From abroad	8	3.8	0	0
Years of school (212 tre	eated and 167 controls)			
Less than 9	102	48.1	121	72.5
More than 9	110	51.9	46	27.5
Years with Osteoarthro	osis (210 treated and 169 o	controls)		
Less than 10	63	30	78	46.2
11 - 20	76	36.2	67	39.6
More than 20	71	33.8	24	14.2
Total	220	56.1	172	43.9

Joints	Spa treatm	Spa treatment subjects		Normal care subjects		
	n.	%	n.	%		
Neck	190	88	110	64	***	
Back	199	90.9	122	71.3	***	
Right Shoulder	161	73.9	89	51.7	***	
Left Shoulder	150	68.2	93	54.1	*	
Right Elbow	105	48.6	49	28.5	***	
Left Elbow	106	49.1	49	28.7	***	
Right Wrist	107	49.8	52	30.2	***	
Left Wrist	109	50.7	54	31.6	***	
Right Hip	126	58.6	92	53.8		
Left Hip	123	57.2	95	55.6		
Right Knee	151	70.2	112	65.1		
Left Knee	150	69.4	114	66.3		
Right Ankle	107	49.8	40	23.3	***	
Left Ankle	109	50.9	41	23.8	***	

	Spa treatme	Spa treatment subjects		Normal care subjects		
Drugs	n.	%	n.	%		
Yes	111	50.5	151	87.8	***	
No	109	49.5	21	12.2		
Physical therapy						
Yes	96	44.9	93	54.7		
No	118	55.1	77	45.3		

Tab. IV. Prevalence of	analgesics and non-ste	roidal anti-inflammatory	/ drugs.		
	Spa treatme	Spa treatment subjects		Normal care subjects	
Analgesics	n.	%	n.	%	
Yes	8	3.6	25	14.5	***
No	212	96.4	147	85.5	
Fans					
Yes	83	37.7	79	45.9	
No	137	62.3	93	54.1	
*** p < 0.001					

The results of our study show a lower use of drugs and physiotherapy in STs than in NCs, although STs reported a higher prevalence of pain and more years of disease. This might partially explain the decision to undergo thermal treatment in STs: further reasons

could be hypothezised, as a younger age and a higher socio-economical status. Furthermore the level of disability, lower in NCs, evidences that a better control of disease is present in STs. A limitation of our study is the different number of STs and NCs. Actually,

CT-			-				
STs and NCs.							
Tab. V. Age-standardised	means	and ±	sd	of	disability	score	in

	STs	NCs	
Mean	7.81	12.89	***
± sd	5.99	6.53	
*** p < 0.001			

data collection has not been always accurate: we have had to exclude 57 subjects (mostly controls), because of not properly filled questionnaires. Another limitation is that this study, being cross sectional, cannot estabilish the effect of spa treatment in comparison to usual care. In fact a randomised trial is necessary for getting efficacy results. This study might suggest a hyphotesis which should be evaluated by experimental studies.

References

- [1] Badley ME, Wang PP. Arthritis and the aging population: projections of arthritis prevalence in Canada 1991 to 2031. J Rheumatol 2001;25:138-43.
- [2] Bellometti S, Cecchettin M, Galzigna L. Mud pack therapy in osteoarthrosis. Changes in serum levels of chondrocyte markers. Clinica Chimica Acta 1997;268:101-6.
- [3] Hochberg MC, Kasper J, Williamson J, Skinner A, Fried LP. The contribution of osteoarthrtis to disability: preliminary data from the women's health and aging study. J Rheumatol 1995;22:1(Suppl 43):16-8.
- [4] Badley ME. The effect of osteoarthritis on disability and health care use in Canada. J Rheumatol 1995;22:1(Suppl 43):19-22.
- [5] Guarini G, Fiorelli G, Malliani A, Violi F, Volpe M, Teodori M. Trattato Italiano di Medicina Interna. Sixth Edition. Roma: Società Editrice Universo 2000.
- [6] Nguyen M, Revel M, Dougados M. Prolonged effects of three week therapy in a spa resort on lumbar spine, knee and hip osteoarthritis: follow up after 6 months, a randomized controlled trial. Br J Rheumatol 1997;36:77-81.
- [7] Verhagen A, de Vet H, de Bie R, Kessels A, Boers M, Knipschild P. Taking baths: the efficacy of balneotherapy in patients with arthritis: a systematic review. J Rheumatol 1997;24 (10):1964-71.
- [8] Bellometti S, Galzigna L. Serum levels of a prostaglandin and a leucokotriene after thermal mud pack therapy. J Investigative Med 1998;46(4):140-5.
- [9] Galzigna L, Moretto C, Lalli A. Physical and biochemical changes of thermal mud after maturation. Biomed Pharmacother 1996;50:306-8.
- [10] Galzigna L, Ceschi-Berrini C, Moschin E, Tolomio C. Thermal mud-pack as an anti-inflammatory treatment. Biomed Pharmacother 1998;52:408-9.
- [11] Bellometti S, Poletto M, Gregotti C, Richelmi P, Berte F. *Mud bath therapy influences nitric oxide, myeloperoxidase and glu-tathione peroxidase serum levels in arthritic patients*. Int J Clin Pharmacol Res 2000;20(3-4):69-80.

Received on March 21, 2006. Accepted on November 15, 2006.

Correspondence: Cristina Gallotti, Department of Preventive Medicine, Section of Hygiene, University of Pavia, v.le Forlanini 2, 27100 Pavia, Italy - Tel. +39 0382 987288 - Fax +39 0382 987558 - E-mail: gallotti@unipv.it

The balneotherapy, along with mud-pack therapy, is one of the oldest form of therapy for osteoarthrosis. The minerals in the water, together with increased buoyancy, may be of therapeutic value [7]. Furthermore mud-pack therapy improves serum physiological antioxidant defences, reducing oxygen radical damage on cartilage [12, 13]. Our results, in agreement with those of other Authors, show that the regular use of mud-pack therapy and balneotherapy seem to be beneficial because less analgesic drugs and physiotherapic treatment are required notwithstanding the higher prevalence of painful joints. The spa environment might strongly contribute to the achievement of this goal [14-23]: many factors are positively involved, such as change of living environment, the spa scenery, physical and mental relaxation, and the absence of work duties [7]. In this regard it might be important to encourage new investigations in order to assess in which measure thermal therapy contribute to the quality of life.

- [12] Bellometti S, Cecchetin M, Galzigna L. Serum interleukin-1 changes in arthrosic patients after mud pack treatment. Phys Rehab Kur Med 1995;5:92-3.
- [13] Bellometti S, Giannini S, Sartori L. Cytokines levels in osteoarthrosic undergoing mud bath therapy. Int J Clin Pharm Res 1997;17(4):149-53..
- [14] Behrend T. The balneotherapy of rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Rehabil 1979; (Suppl):86-7.
- [15] O'Hare JP, Haywood A, Summerhayes C. Observations on the effects of immersion in bath spa water. Br Med J 1985;291:1747-51.
- [16] Fioravanti A, Valenti M, Altobelli E, Di Orio F, Nappi G, Crisanti A, et al. Clinical efficacy and cost-effectiveness evidence of spa therapy in osteoarthritis. The results of "Naiade" Italian Project. Panminerva Med 2003;45(8):211-7.
- [17] Verhagen AP, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Cardoso JR, de Bie RA, Boers M, de Vet HC. Balneotherapy for rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003;(4).
- [18] Guillemin F, Virion JM, Escudier P, De Talance N, Weryha G. Effect on osteoarthritis of spa therapy at Bourbonne-les-Bains. Joint Bone Spine 2001;68(6):499-503.
- [19] Verhagen AP, de Vet HCW, de Bie RA, Kessels AGH, Boers M, Knipschild PG (Cochrane Review). Balneotherapy for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) osteoporosis (OP). In: The Cochrane Library, issue 1, 1999.
- [20] Sukenik S. Spa treatment for arthritis at the Dead Sea Area. Isr J Med Sci 1994;30:919-21.
- [21] Fam AG. Spa treatment in arthritis: a rheumatologist's view. J Rheumatol 1991;18:1775-7.
- [22] Yilmaz B, Goktepe AS, Alaca R, Mohur H, Kayar AH. Comparison of a generic and a disease specific quality of life scale to assess a comprehensive spa therapy program for knee osteoarthritis. Joint Bone Spine 2004;71(6):563-6.
- [23] Karagulle MZ, Karagulle M. Balneotherapy and spa therapy of rheumatic diseases in Turkey: a systematic review. Forsch Komplementarmed Klass Naturheilkd 2004;11(1):33-41.

 EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES IN SPA-TREATED OSTEOARTHROSIC PATIENTS

5